

# **RatingsDirect**®

### **Summary:**

### Sun Prairie Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Joseph Vodziak, Chicago + 1 312 233 7094; joseph.vodziak@spglobal.com

Andrew J Truckenmiller, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7032; andrew.truckenmiller@spglobal.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Rationale

Outlook

#### **Summary:**

## Sun Prairie Area School District, Wisconsin; **General Obligation**

Credit Profile		
US\$33.28 mil GO rfdg bnds dtd 03/21/2019 due 03/01/2029		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	New
Sun Prairie Area Sch Dist taxable GO rfdg bnds		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Sun Prairie Area Sch Dist GO qual sch const bnds		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Sun Prairie Area Sch Dist ICR		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed

#### Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to Sun Prairie Area School District, Wis.' series 2019 general obligation (GO) refunding bonds. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating on the district's existing GO debt. The outlook is stable.

The district's unlimited-tax GO pledge secures the bonds. Bond proceeds will be used to refund the district's 2010 Build America bonds.

Sun Prairie Area School District has benefited from the very strong growth of the Madison metropolitan statistical area (MSA) over the past few years. The growth has presented some capacity issues for the district, which it has combated with capital investments that have led to elevated debt. Despite this, the district has shown no problems managing the carrying costs. The district finished fiscal years 2017 and 2018 with strong surpluses, reflecting its efforts to conserve cost, budget conservatively, and increase revenues from the increasing enrollment. The district intends to go to voters to approve a \$164 million referendum for additional debt that will be issued across multiple series of bonds over the next five years to fund capital needs in order to handle the expected enrollment growth.

The rating reflects our view of Sun Prairie Area School District's:

- Access to the broad and diverse Madison MSA;
- Very strong market value per capita and increasing market value trend;
- Increasing enrollment;
- Strong to good incomes; and
- · Good financial management practices.

Offsetting these strengths are the district's high overall net debt per capita and moderately high debt to market value.

#### Economy

Sun Prairie Area School District School serves an estimated population of 48,521. In our opinion, median household effective buying income (EBI) is strong at 118% of the national level, but per capita EBI is good at 104%. Equalized value (including tax increment districts) totaled \$5.1 billion in 2019, which we consider extremely strong at \$105,334 per capita. Equalized value (excluding tax increment districts) grew by a total of 18.2% since 2017 to \$4.9 billion in 2019. The 10 largest taxpayers make up an estimated 5.4% of equalized value (excluding tax increment districts), which we consider very diverse.

The district is located in south-central Wisconsin's Dane and Columbia counties and serves students in the cities of Sun Prairie and Madison and several area towns and villages. Residents have access to diverse employment opportunities in the Madison MSA. Major employers in the district include American Family Mutual Insurance (3,999 employees), QBE Investments (1,500), and the district itself (1,179). The Madison regional economy includes very large stable employers such as the University of Wisconsin – Madison (21,500+ employees) and the state of Wisconsin (16,000+).

#### **Finances**

A three-year moving enrollment average is a key factor in Wisconsin school district per-pupil revenue, which is subject to a cap that the state determines. Although annual student count fluctuations do not have a material effect on finances, a trend of increasing or decreasing enrollment could lead to an increase or decrease, respectively, in revenue. In fiscal 2018, approximately 55% of general fund revenue came from state sources and 39% came from local sources. Enrollment totaled 8,534 students in 2019. Enrollment increased in each year from 2015 to 2019. The district uses multiple enrollment projection models both of which forecast strong enrollment growth to continue and the enrollment growth should lead to steady revenue growth.

The district's available fund balance of \$12.9 million is strong in our view, at 13.1% of general fund expenditures at fiscal year-end (June 30) 2018. The district reported a surplus operating result of 3.1% of expenditures in 2018.

The district has finished each of the past two years with operating surpluses and has increased its reserves even with portions of the surpluses being transferred to its capital fund to help reduce future borrowing. Management expects another moderate general fund surplus in 2019 and is looking to continue increasing its reserves in 2020.

#### Management

We consider the district's management practices good under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

The district's management policies include:

- Three-to-four years of historical data, outside data sources, and mostly line-item estimates to develop the budget;
- The budget can be amended as necessary throughout the year and the board receives detailed monthly budget-to-actual reports;

- The district maintains an annually updated 10-year capital improvement plan, which identifies project costs. The district has started setting a portion of its reserves for future capital projects.
- The district uses two different multiyear financial forecasts;
- · The district has an investment policy that requires compliance with state investment laws and management reports its investment holdings to the board monthly;
- The district does not have a debt management policy; and
- The district has a formal general fund reserve policy equal to 10% of expenditures.

#### Debt

As a percentage of market value, we consider overall net debt to be moderately high, at 6.3%, and high on a per capita basis at \$6,593. With 55% of the district's direct debt scheduled to be retired within 10 years, amortization is average. Debt service carrying charges were 15.4% of total governmental fund expenditures excluding capital outlay in fiscal 2018, which we consider elevated.

The district is planning to go to voters with a \$164 million referendum request, which is expected to fund a number of capital projects over the next five years. While we note that the district's debt burden is high and is expected to increase, we believe equalized value growth should help mitigate the taxpayer burden of additional debt service levies. However, we would have a negative view if equalized value growth slows causing a substantially higher debt burden for taxpayers.

#### Pension and other postemployment benefit liabilities

The district paid its full required contribution of \$3.6 million toward its pension obligations in fiscal 2018, or 2.0% of total governmental expenditures.

The district participates in the Wisconsin Retirement System, a cost-sharing, multiple-employer pension plan. In 2017, the plan was 102.9% funded with a \$10.5 million net pension asset. The district pays the full contractually required contribution each year, which was \$3.5 million in 2018. It also provides OPEB and supplemental pension benefits to its employees through a defined contribution plan, which it funds on a pay-as-you-go basis. In 2017, its pay-as-you-go costs were \$1.0 million. As of 2017, its net OPEB liability was \$15.9 million.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that enrollment growth and economic growth will likely continue, which will help maintain funding stability. We also consider the district's strong available reserves, its good financial policies, and its participation in the broad and diverse economy of the Madison MSA to provide stability. We do not expect to change the rating within our two-year outlook.

#### Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if available reserves declined and were sustained below a level we consider strong, or if tax base growth does not keep up with anticipated new debt issuances, substantially increasing the debt burden.

#### Upside scenario

We expect the district's debt burden to remain high, given the district's capital needs, but if it can reduce its debt burden to levels commensurate with those of higher-rated peers and demonstrate at least stable financial performance despite the ongoing enrollment growth, we could raise the rating.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.